#### **Student Loan Forgiveness** (by Michael Dinerstein, Samuel Earnest, Dmitri Koustas, Constantine Yannelis)

Discussion by Sasha Indarte

### **US Increasingly Exploring Student Loan Forgiveness**

- Beginning March 21, 2021, over \$132 billion in student loans were forgiven (7.8% of outstanding amt!)
- Supreme Court blocked initial plans to forgive \$10-20k in debt
  - Would have been universal for with income < \$125k
  - Instead, forgiveness was achieved by modifying existing policies

PSLF PSLF 280 \$42 Billion \$5.2 Billion 240 200Number of Borrowers (Thousands) 160 120-Permanent Disability Borrower Defense \$5.8 Billion \$5.8 Billion 80 IDR Adjustment \$39 Billion 0 PSLF \$7.0 Billion -40 2022 2023 2021 2024

Figure 1: Borrowers Forgiven and Forgiveness Announcements

## **Policy Dreams vs Reality**

- Two goals: redistribution and stimulus
- Judge redistribution success by examining *targeting* wrt income
- Judge stimulus by estimating MPCs out of debt relief
- Is student loan forgiveness a good way to pursue these goals?
  - **Redistribution:** if the goal is to provide resources to low-income people, why not just lower their taxes instead?
  - **Stimulus:** did the targeting of student loan forgiveness result in weaker stimulus?

**Question 1:** Is income regressivity the best way to assess redistribution?

### How to evaluate redistributive success?

- Find: forgiven borrowers earn \$703 more than unforgiven borrowers
  - **\$504 more** than the general population
- To assess distribution of welfare gains, ideally, we would judge targeting of recipients in terms of marginal utility
  - **Consumption** is an ideal proxy—it's the argument of utility!
- Greater student loan debt can mean...
  - Higher debt payments  $\rightarrow$  less disposable income  $\rightarrow$  lower consumption
  - Lower wealth (overall & liquid) → less credit access → lower consumption
- Policies may appear less regressive when measured by recipient's prepolicy consumption

### Can judge targeting by consumption in paper's setting?

- 1. Compare **pre-forgiveness** consumption of **durables** 
  - 1[has auto loan] is similar (at 49% for everyone & recipients)
  - But 1[has mortgage] is much *larger* for forgiven borrowers 42% vs 30%!
- 2. Changes in **CC balances** 
  - Caveat: ~80% CC balances are revolving (Bornstein Indarte, 2023)
  - Harder to interpret if unpaid/revolving % varies with forgiveness receipt
- 3. Possible to **impute** (~potential earnings) with other data?
  - CEX has payments on student loans...

# Question 2: How well are high-MPC people targeted by student loan forgiveness?

### **Does Forgiveness Generate Relatively Large MPCs?**

- Liquid wealth is the only observable that that robustly correlates with MPCs (see Havranek Sokolova (2020) for a meta analysis)
- May expect relatively higher MPCs among those with student loan debt
  - Lower net worth, and payments limit liquid wealth accumulation
- Estimate MPC out of loan forgiveness of 0.27
  - Similar (but **on the low side**) compared to other MPC estimates for lowliquidity households (Parker et al., 2013; Kaplan Violante, 2014; Boehm et al., 2025)
  - **Q:** smaller MPCs because of nature of policy (affects wealth more than liquidity) or because of who is targeted?
    - Answer can inform design of future debt relief (change who's targeted or wealth/liquidity impact of policies? Student loan forgiveness vs taxes? Etc.)

# Can you tell us more about how MPCs or liquid wealth *differ* for recipients?

- Expect largest stimulus when targeting low-liquidity borrowers (not necessarily low-income)
  - Q: could student loan forgiveness **better target high-MPC** households than tax cuts for low-income households?
  - Is student debt a better predictor of a high-MPC than income?
- Estimate MPCs out of credit limit increases (pre-policy) for recipients and non-recipients?
- Impute their liquid wealth using the SCF?

Wrap Up

### Misc.

- Median monthly student loan payments are zero in various samples (because of payment deferral), what were they before deferral?
  - Would give a better sense of impact on disposable income
- External validity: could political uncertainty about permanence of policy have dampened its effects?
- 6-month horizon may capture limited picture of labor market responses
  - Negative effects may reverse in the medium- to long-run (a la Hampole, 2024)
- What does the *distribution* of earnings (actual & potential) look like for recipients and non-recipients?

### In conclusion...

- Powerful dataset, well-suited to identify student loan forgiveness recipients, linked to an array of important outcomes (including income!)
- Careful and well-executed assessment of important policy changes
- Valuable estimates to assess policy and also set stage for future research on the efficiency of student debt relief